

**SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE IN EPSOM & EWELL – 9 December 2019****OPEN FORUM IN ADVANCE OF FORMAL MEETING
VERBAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS****Question 1: Anne Alden**

As part of the 2019/20 parking review, proposals have been advertised to amend the timings of residents parking in Zone M following the submission of a petition from residents. During the advert period a leaflet giving three options had been distributed with election material. What weight will be given to the opinions expressed by residents in response to the questions asked?

Response:

The Chairman replied that she had circulated the leaflet which she considered to be impartial and giving a choice of 3 options. She had done this as a result of the high number of objections which were being received following advertisement of the proposals. In some areas residents are unanimous in wanting the restrictions to be unchanged and more than 40% are opposed to change. She felt that it may be best to postpone the changes and to consider in a future review if there was sufficient support. The petitioner was concerned that they had carried out a full consultation and knocked on all doors and that it now appeared that there were different views. The Chairman had no views on which option should go forward, she is happy to follow the wishes of residents.

Question 2: Andrew Burke

Asked if it would be permissible to submit further information in respect of the advertised parking proposals for Bradford Drive after the closure of the advertising period. He felt that the proposals had been submitted when the road had been closed and that the situation had now changed.

Response:

The Area Highways Manager responded that any views submitted before the end of the statutory advertising period must be considered and anything submitted after this time may be considered at the discretion of the Parking Manager and divisional member. The divisional member stated that the petition had been submitted to improve sight lines and that there had been an accident in the area. He agreed to take the words of the alternative put forward by the questioner and to consult those who may have signed the petition and for whom he has contact details to obtain their views. He would then take this into account in the final deliberation when all the views submitted have been analysed.

This page is intentionally left blank